

INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

UMI

A Bell & Howell Information Company
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA
313:761-4700 800:521-0600



**SUBGROUPS OF HECKE GROUPS
AND HECKE POLYGONS**

by

Shuechin Huang

A dissertation submitted to the Graduate Faculty in Mathematics
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy, The City University of New York

1996

UMI Number: 9707107

**Copyright 1996 by
Huang, Shuechin**

All rights reserved.

**UMI Microform 9707107
Copyright 1996, by UMI Company. All rights reserved.**

**This microform edition is protected against unauthorized
copying under Title 17, United States Code.**

UMI
300 North Zeeb Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

©1996

Shuechin Huang

All Rights Reserved

This manuscript has been read and accepted for the Graduate Faculty in Mathematics in satisfaction of the dissertation requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

July 15, 1996

Date



Chair of Examining Committee

July 15, 1996

Date



Executive Officer

Ravi S. Kulkarni

Frederick P. Gardiner

Al Vasquez

Supervisory Committee

THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to appreciate my adviser, Professor Ravi Kulkarni, for his assistance on this thesis. I would also like to thank Professor Linda Keen and Professor Frederick Gardiner for their encouragement. Moreover, many thanks to my dear family and friends for their emotional support.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | Hecke Polygons | 9 |
| 3 | A New Proof of an Extension of Kurosh's Theorem | 14 |
| 4 | Subgroups of Finite Index in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ | 17 |
| 5 | Special Cases | 30 |
| 6 | Hecke Polygons with Associated Permutations | 32 |
| 7 | Branched Coverings of Punctured Spheres | 38 |
| | References | 47 |

1 Introduction

Suppose that integers p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are given, where each $p_j \geq 2$. The purpose of this thesis is to study geometry and topology of a Fuchsian group $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, called a *Hecke group*, and its certain extension $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, called an *extended Hecke group*. As an abstract group, $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^{*n} Z_{p_i}$, and $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{D}_{p_1} *_{Z_2} \dots *_{Z_2} \mathbf{D}_{p_n}$ (amalgamated over Z_2 's generated by reflections), where throughout the paper \prod^* denotes a free product of groups, each Z_{p_j} is a finite cyclic group of order p_j , and each \mathbf{D}_{p_j} is a dihedral group of order $2p_j$, cf. §2.

Let Γ be a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Then \mathbf{H}^2/Γ is a Riemann surface. Let g and t be the genus and the number of cusps of \mathbf{H}^2/Γ respectively, and let m_1, \dots, m_k be the branching numbers of the branch points on \mathbf{H}^2/Γ . The signature of Γ is $(g; m_1, \dots, m_k; t)$.

It follows from Kurosh's theorem that a subgroup of a Hecke group $\prod_{i=1}^{*n} Z_{p_i}$ is isomorphic to $F * (\prod_{i=1}^{*k} Z_{m_i})$, where F is a free group, and each m_j divides some p_i , for $j = 1, \dots, k$. A group $\prod_{i=1}^{*n} Z_{p_i}$ may not always contain a subgroup of a given type. For instance, $Z_2 * Z_2 * Z_2 * Z_2$ does not embed in $Z_3 * Z_6$ as a subgroup of index 2. Indeed, it is easy to see that there is a unique normal subgroup of index 2 in $Z_3 * Z_6$, and it is isomorphic to $Z_3 * Z_3 * Z_3$.

Millington investigated the existence of subgroups with given signatures in the Modular group which is isomorphic to $Z_2 * Z_3$. We state Millington's theorem as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let d, k_1, k_2, g, t be nonnegative integers, and $t, d \geq 1$. If the Riemann-Hurwitz relation*

$$d = 3k_1 + 4k_2 + 12g + 6t - 12$$

holds, the Modular group contains a subgroup with a signature $(g; \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{k_1}, \underbrace{3, \dots, 3}_{k_2}; t)$ of index d .

This result was partially extended. A group Γ can be embedded as a subgroup of index d in $Z_{p_1} * Z_{p_2}$, where p_1, p_2 are distinct primes iff the Euler characteristic condition is satisfied, i.e. $\chi(\Gamma) = d\chi(Z_{p_1} * Z_{p_2})$ [6, Theorem 5.1], where χ is the Euler characteristic of a group in the sense of Wall, cf. [15]. Notice that this extension is partial since we do not know whether the group can be realized as a *Fuchsian* group with a prescribed signature, subject to Euler characteristic (that is the same as Riemann-Hurwitz) condition. However when p_1, \dots, p_n are not distinct primes, the Riemann-Hurwitz condition is not sufficient to embed a group as a subgroup of finite index in $\prod_{i=1}^n Z_{p_i}$.

In [6], Kulkarni derived a further necessary condition, the diophantine condition, and showed that this condition together with the Riemann-Hurwitz condition are also

sufficient to embed a group $F_r * \prod_m^* Z_m$ in $\prod_{i=1}^{*n} Z_{p_i}$ as a subgroup of finite index, where henceforth F_r denotes a free group of rank r . We describe this theorem as follows.

Theorem 1.2 *Let k, r be nonnegative integers. Let $\Gamma = \prod_{i=1}^{*n} Z_{p_i}$, and $\Phi = F_r * \prod_{i=1}^{*k} Z_{m_i}$, where each m_i divides some p_j . Then Φ can be realized as a subgroup of Γ of index d if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *(the Riemann-Hurwitz condition)*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{m_i} - (k + r) + 1 = d \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} - n + 1 \right)$$

(ii) *(the diophantine condition)*

Let $m_0 = 1$, and let m_1, \dots, m_s be the maximal set of distinct m_i , where each m_j , $1 \leq j \leq s$, occurs b_j times. Set $\varepsilon_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m_j \nmid p_i \\ 1 & \text{if } m_j | p_i \end{cases}$, $\delta_{ij} = \frac{p_i}{m_j} \varepsilon_{ij}$. Then the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{ij} x_{ij} = b_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, s$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^s \delta_{ij} x_{ij} = d, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

has a solution for x_{ij} in nonnegative integers.

Moreover Kulkarni extended Millington's theorem to $Z_{p_1} * Z_{p_2}$.

Theorem 1.3 *Let k, g, t, r be nonnegative integers, where $t \geq 1$, $r = 2g + t - 1$. Let $\Gamma = Z_{p_1} * Z_{p_2}$, and $\Phi = F_r * \prod_{i=1}^{*k} Z_{m_i}$, where each m_i divides p_1 or p_2 . Then Φ can*

be realized as a subgroup of Γ of index d and with a signature $(g; m_1, \dots, m_k; t)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) (the Riemann-Hurwitz condition)

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{m_i} - (k + r) + 1 = d \left(\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - 1 \right)$$

(ii) (the diophantine condition)

Let $m_0 = 1$, and let m_1, \dots, m_s be the maximal set of distinct m_i , where each m_j , $1 \leq j \leq s$, occurs b_j times. Set $\varepsilon_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m_j \nmid p_i \\ 1 & \text{if } m_j | p_i \end{cases}$, $\delta_{ij} = \frac{p_i}{m_j} \varepsilon_{ij}$. Then the system

$$\varepsilon_{1j} x_{1j} + \varepsilon_{2j} x_{2j} = b_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, s$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^s \delta_{ij} x_{ij} = d, \quad i = 1, 2$$

has a solution for x_{ij} in nonnegative integers.

A motivation of this thesis was to study realizability of signatures by subgroups of finite index in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ considered as a Fuchsian group.

A noncompact Fuchsian group Γ is a free product of cyclic groups. A system of generators for Γ is said to be *independent* if the group is a free product of cyclic subgroups generated by elements in the generating system. This notion due to Rademacher, cf. [12]. A fundamental domain P for Γ is called an *admissible* fundamental domain for Γ if the side pairings of P is an independent system of generators for Γ , cf. [7]. A fundamental domain is in general *not* admissible. Indeed, the

usual fundamental domain for the Modular group and the well-known fundamental domain constructed by Fricke for congruence subgroups are not admissible. In §2, we introduce a special kind of Poincaré polygon, called a *Hecke polygon*, which is an admissible fundamental domain for the group generated by the side pairings of it.

There is a correspondence between Hecke polygons and subgroups of finite index in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Each subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ admits an admissible fundamental domain which is a Hecke polygon. From this result, new proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are given by constructing a Hecke polygon. Meanwhile the diophantine condition (which is the same as the integrality condition) is interpreted geometrically as the relationship between the index of a subgroup and the number of Ω_j -polygons of a Hecke polygon, cf. §3. In our set-up Theorem 1.2 is restated as follows.

Theorem 1.4 *Let $k_0 = 0, k_1, \dots, k_n, r$ be nonnegative integers, where $k_i \leq k_{i+1}$, for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Let $\Gamma = F_r * \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{i=k_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} Z_{\frac{p_j}{m_i}}$, where $m_i | p_j$, $i = k_{j-1} + 1, \dots, k_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then Γ can be embedded in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ as a subgroup of index d if and only if the following conditions hold:*

(i) *(the Riemann-Hurwitz condition)*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=k_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} \frac{m_i}{p_j} - (k_n + r) + 1 = d \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j} - n + 1 \right)$$

(ii) *(the integrality condition)*

The numbers s_1, \dots, s_n satisfying

$$s_j p_j + \sum_{i=k_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} m_i = d, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

are nonnegative integers.

In particular, if p_1, \dots, p_n are distinct primes, the integrality condition reduces to $d \geq k_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, where k_j is the number of copies of Z_{p_j} 's in Γ (see Corollary 5.2).

In §4, we study a special kind of a NEC (non-euclidean crystallographic) group $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ in which $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is a subgroup of index 2.

The algebraic structure of a NEC group with noncompact quotient space was determined by Macbeath and Hoare [9]. It follows that each subgroup of finite index in $D_{p_1} *_{Z_2} \dots *_{Z_2} D_{p_n}$ is isomorphic to $F_r * \prod_m^* Z_m * \prod_i^* (D_{x_{i1}} *_{Z_2} \dots *_{Z_2} D_{x_{ik_i}}) * \prod_j^* E_j$, where each m divides some p_j , each x_{ij} divides some p_l , and each E_j has a presentation $\langle y_j, a_{j1}, \dots, a_{js_j} | a_{j1} y_j a_{js_j} y_j^{-1} = a_{jl}^2 = a_{j(l+1)}^2 = (a_{jl} a_{j(l+1)})^{u_{jl}} = 1, l = 2, \dots, s_j - 1 \rangle$.

We extend Theorem 1.4 in the case of subgroups of finite index in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. In this case, the necessary and sufficient conditions are still called the Riemann-Hurwitz and diophantine conditions (see Theorem 4.2). When p_1, \dots, p_n are distinct primes, the diophantine condition can be stated in a more concise way (see Theorem 5.1).

Singerman [14] gave a permutation-theoretic approach to the realizability problem for signatures of subgroups of finitely generated Fuchsian groups. A generalization to NEC (non-euclidean crystallographic) groups was done by Hoare [4]. Singerman's theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1.5 *Suppose that Γ has a presentation*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g, x_1, \dots, x_r, f_1, \dots, f_t \mid x_1^{m_1} = \dots = x_r^{m_r} \\ & = \prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] \prod_{j=1}^r x_j \prod_{k=1}^t f_k = 1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

with a signature $(g; m_1, \dots, m_r; t)$. Then Γ contains a subgroup Φ of index d with a signature $(h; n_{11}, n_{12}, \dots, n_{1\rho_1}, \dots, n_{r1}, n_{r2}, \dots, n_{r\rho_r}; s)$ if and only if there exists a finite permutation group G transitive on d points, and an epimorphism $\theta : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) *The permutation $\theta(x_j)$ has precisely ρ_j disjoint cycles of lengths $\frac{m_j}{n_{j1}}, \dots, \frac{m_j}{n_{j\rho_j}}$.*
- (ii) *If we denote the number of cycles in the permutation $\theta(f_i)$ by $\delta(f_i)$, then $s = \sum_{i=1}^t \delta(f_i)$.*

In §6, we show how to associate a system of permutations to a Hecke polygon such that the signature of the group generated by the side pairings of this polygon can be determined from the action of those permutations. The permutations which we construct (in the special case of Hecke groups) are of a different nature from the

ones in Singerman's theorem. In particular, we use the permutations to construct the appropriate Hecke polygon, and in fact get an explicit geometric realization of the corresponding surface.

It is of interest to note that the Riemann-Hurwitz and diophantine conditions are not sufficient for the existence of a subgroup with a prescribed signature in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ if $n \geq 3$. An obvious additional necessary end-condition for the existence of a subgroup Γ of index d in a group is that the number t of cusps of the quotient space \mathbf{H}^2/Γ is at most d . This condition does not follow from the Riemann-Hurwitz or diophantine condition, cf. Example in §7. The realizability problem for the existence of a subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with a given signature for any possible $t \leq d$ is still open. Indeed even for torsion free subgroups, this problem appears to be difficult. Curiously, in the cocompact case for the torsion free subgroups, only Riemann-Hurwitz condition is sufficient, cf. [2]. In our case, the result in [2] implies that if $n \geq 3$ and $t|d$, there exists a torsion free subgroup of index d whose corresponding surface has t cusps, cf. Theorem 7.2. Here we use a different approach and consider the realizability of torsion free subgroups with $t \leq d$. Special cases are discussed in §7. Some further cases for groups with torsions in the cocompact case are dealt in [3].

There is a close relation between the Hurwitz problem on realizability of a branched covering of a sphere and the problem of the existence of a subgroup of finite index in

$\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ [5]. Given a subgroup Γ of index d in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, let $\pi_\Gamma : \mathbf{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^2/\Gamma$ be the natural projection. Then π_Γ and $\pi_{\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)}$ induce a branched covering $\phi : \mathbf{H}^2/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^2/\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ of degree d of a punctured sphere $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. In particular, if $n \geq 5$ and all the p_j 's are ≥ 3 (resp. $p_1 = p_n = 2, p_2 = p_{n-1} = p$), the covering space \mathbf{H}^2/Γ of genus g with t cusps of a once-punctured sphere $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, branched at $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ to order $\{p_1, \dots, p_1, \dots, p_n, \dots, p_n\}$ (resp. $\{2, \dots, 2, p, \dots, p\}$), can be realized for any $t \leq d$, cf. Corollary 7.4 and Corollary 7.6.

2 Hecke Polygons

Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n , be integers, where each $p_j \geq 2$. For each $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, let C_j be a circle $|z - a_j| = \delta_j$, where $a_j \in \mathbf{R}$, $a_j < a_{j+1}$, and $\delta_j^2 + \delta_{j+1}^2 \leq (a_j - a_{j+1})^2 < (\delta_j + \delta_{j+1})^2$. Then C_j intersects only with C_{j-1} and C_{j+1} , for $j = 2, \dots, n-2$. Suppose that C_{j-1} and C_j intersect at a point $b_j \in \mathbf{H}^2$ with an angle $\frac{\pi}{p_j}$, for $j = 2, \dots, n-2$. Let $b_1 = a_1 - \delta_1 \cos \frac{\pi}{p_1}$ and $b_n = a_{n-1} + \delta_{n-1} \cos \frac{\pi}{p_n}$. Let \mathcal{D}^* be the hyperbolic polygon with vertices at b_1, \dots, z_n , and ∞ . An *extended generalized Hecke group* $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is a discrete group generated by the reflections in the edges of \mathcal{D}^* . The stabilizers of each vertex b_j and each edge of \mathcal{D}^* are \mathbf{D}_{p_j} and Z_2 respectively, where Z_2 's are reflections of the dihedral groups \mathbf{D}_{p_j} , i.e. the elements in the nonidentity coset of the rotation group Z_{p_j} . Therefore $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{D}_{p_1} * Z_2 \cdots * Z_2 \mathbf{D}_{p_n}$.




Figure 1: a fundamental polygon \mathcal{D}^* for $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, p_2, p_3)$

Let $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, called a *generalized Hecke group*, which consists of all orientation-preserving transformations in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Then $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{p_j}$.

We will need the following definitions. The elements of the $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ -orbits of b_j and ∞ are called the b_j -vertices and the *cusps* respectively, where $j = 1, \dots, n$. Suppose that the hyperbolic line through a_j and ∞ intersects with C_j at a point c_j , for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, (see Figure 1). The elements of $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ -orbits of c_j 's are called the c_j -vertices. The elements of $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ -orbits of the edges joining c_j to ∞ are called the c_j -edges. The elements of $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ -orbits of the edges joining b_j to ∞ are called b_j -edges. The elements of $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ -orbits of the edges joining b_j to c_j and c_j to b_{j+1} respectively, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, are called e_j -edges and f_j -edges respectively. Each of the e_j - and f_j -edges has finite length, and each of b_j -edges has infinite length. The hyperbolic line joining a_j to ∞




Figure 2: a fundamental polygon for $\mathcal{H}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$

consists of two c_j -edges, for $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Its $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ translates are called the c_j -lines.

The $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ translates of the polygon with vertices at $\{b_1, c_1, \infty\}$, $\{c_1, b_2, c_2, \infty\}$, \dots , $\{c_{n-2}, b_{n-1}, c_{n-1}, \infty\}$ and $\{c_{n-1}, b_n, \infty\}$ respectively are called the Ω_j^* -polygons. The $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ translates of the polygon with vertices at $\{b_1, a_1, \infty\}$, $\{a_1, b_2, a_2, \infty\}$, \dots , $\{a_{n-2}, b_{n-1}, a_{n-1}, \infty\}$ and $\{a_{n-1}, b_n, \infty\}$ respectively are called the Ω_j -polygons. If each p_j is greater than 2, then Ω_1 - and Ω_n -polygons are triangles, and the rest of Ω_j -polygons are $2j$ -gons respectively.

Let Δ_j^* and $\tilde{\Delta}_j^*$ be triangles with vertices at $\{b_j, c_j, \infty\}$ and $\{c_j, b_{j+1}, \infty\}$ respectively, where $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. For $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, let $\Delta_j = \Delta_j^* \cup \sigma_j(\Delta_j^*)$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_j = \tilde{\Delta}_j^* \cup \sigma_j(\tilde{\Delta}_j^*)$, where σ_j is a reflection in a circle C_j .

A usual construction of a fundamental domain for $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ would be $\mathcal{D}^* \cup \sigma(\mathcal{D}^*)$, where σ is a reflection in an edge of \mathcal{D}^* . But we find it more convenient to

take $\mathcal{D} = \cup_{j=1}^{n-1} (\Delta_j \cup \tilde{\Delta}_j)$ as a fundamental domain, (see Figure 2).

A *Hecke polygon* is defined to be a convex polygon P with boundary which is a finite union of c_j -lines and b_j -edges satisfying the following conditions:

S₁. Each c_j -line in ∂P is paired to another c_j -line in ∂P such that one of them is a side of an Ω_j -polygon in P , and the other is a side of an Ω_{j+1} -polygon in P .

S₂. The b_j -edges in ∂P come in pairs. The edges of each pair meet at a b_j -vertex with an interior angle $\frac{2k\pi}{p_j}$, where $k \mid p_j$, and are identified.

S₃. a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} , and ∞ are among the vertices of P .

The main point about Hecke polygons is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *Let P be a Hecke polygon, and let Γ_P be the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ generated by the side pairing transformations of P . Then P is an admissible fundamental domain for Γ_P . Conversely, every subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ admits an admissible fundamental domain which is a Hecke polygon.*

Proof. The argument is similar to the one in Theorem 3.3 [7]. Suppose that P is a Hecke polygon and that Γ_P is the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ generated by the side pairing transformations of P . It follows from the Poincaré polygon theorem [10, §IV.H.] that the set S of the side pairing transformations is an independent set of generators of Γ_P , that is $\Gamma_P = \prod_{f \in S}^* \langle f \rangle$. So the fundamental polygon P is an

admissible fundamental domain for Γ_P .

Conversely, suppose that Γ is a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Let \mathcal{T}^* be the tessellation of \mathbf{H}^2 whose tiles are $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ translates of \mathcal{D}^* . Let $\varphi : \mathbf{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^2/\Gamma$ be the canonical projection. Since Γ preserves \mathcal{T}^* , we have an induced tessellation \mathcal{T}_Γ^* of \mathbf{H}^2/Γ . The φ -images of c_j -vertices, b_j -vertices, c_j -edges, b_j -edges, e_j - and f_j -edges will again be called c_j -vertices, b_j -vertices, c_j -edges, b_j -edges, e_j - and f_j -edges respectively in \mathbf{H}^2/Γ . Let \mathcal{E} be the union of e_j - and f_j -edges in \mathbf{H}^2/Γ . Consider \mathcal{E} as a graph whose vertices are the c_j -vertices and b_j -vertices in \mathbf{H}^2/Γ , and whose edges are the e_j - and f_j -edges in \mathbf{H}^2/Γ . Note that each c_j -vertex is of valence 2, and each b_j -vertex is of valence 1 or k (resp. 2 or $2k$), where $k \mid p_j$, if $j = 1, n$ (resp. $j = 2, \dots, n - 1$).

Since the union of e_j - and f_j -edges in \mathbf{H}^2 is connected, so is \mathcal{E} . Let T be a maximal tree in \mathcal{E} . Let A be the union of all the c_j -edges in \mathbf{H}^2/Γ at the c_j -vertices of valence 1 and all b_j -edges at the b_j -vertices of valence k and $2k$, where $k \mid p_j$, $k \neq p_j$, in T . Develop \mathbf{H}^2/Γ into a polygon P in \mathbf{H}^2 by cutting A such that a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} , and ∞ are among the vertices of P . For each c_j -vertex u and each b_j -vertex v in A , there are a pair of c_j -lines and a pair of b_j -edges incident to u and v respectively. Correspondingly we obtain a pair of c_j -lines (resp. b_j -edges) on ∂P which are paired. Hence P is a Hecke polygon which is a fundamental domain for Γ . **Q.E.D.**




Figure 3: a 5-gon centered at $e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}$ for a group $\mathcal{H}^*(6, 3)$

3 A New Proof of an Extension of Kurosh's Theorem

We now give a new proof of an extension of Kurosh's theorem to the groups $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. We mean by a $(k+2)$ -gon (resp. $2k+2$ -gon) centered at a b_j -vertex a $(k+2)$ -gon (resp. $(2k+2)$ -gon) consisting of k Ω_j -polygons with a common b_j -vertex which attach to each other along the b_j -edges, where $k \mid p_j$, $j = 1, n$ (resp. $j = 2, \dots, n-1$), provided that $p_1, p_n \neq 2$, (see Figure 3).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Suppose that Γ is a subgroup of index d in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Let P be the Hecke polygon for Γ , and let s_j be the number of ideal p_j -gons or $2p_j$ -gons centered at b_j -vertices in P . Then $s_j p_j + \sum_{i=k_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} m_i$ is the total number of Ω_j -polygons in P , for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Hence the conditions (i) and (ii) follow directly from the application of Gauss-Bonnet Theorem and the geometric interpretation to the Hecke polygon P .

Conversely, suppose that conditions (i) and (ii) hold. Substituting $s_j + \sum_{i=k_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} \frac{m_i}{p_j}$

for $\frac{d}{p_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ in eq. (i), we have

$$r = (n-1)d - k_n - \sum_{j=1}^n s_j + 1.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $s_1 \geq s_n$ and $s_j \geq 2s_n - 1$, for all $j \neq 1, n$. We shall find a Hecke polygon P for Γ which consists of s_j ideal p_j -gons or $2p_j$ -gons (an *ideal* polygon in \mathbf{H}^2 is a hyperbolic polygon with vertices at the circle at infinity $\mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\}$), and the $(m_i + 2)$ -gon or $(2m_i + 2)$ -gon centered at a b_j -vertex, for $i = k_{j-1} + 1, \dots, k_j$, and $j = 1, \dots, n$, such that a subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ generated by the side pairing transformations of P is Γ .

Start with an ideal p_1 -gon Q_1 centered at b_1 . Then attach an ideal $2p_2$ -gon to Q_1 along the c_1 -line through ∞ and obtain a new polygon Q_2 . Next attach an ideal $2p_3$ -gon to Q_2 along the c_2 -line through ∞ . Continuously in this way, after $2(n-1)s_n - n + 2$ steps, we obtain a polygon P_0 whose boundary consists of c_j -lines and which contains s_n Ω_1 -polygons, s_n Ω_n -polygons, and $(2s_n - 1)$ Ω_j -polygons, for $j = 2, \dots, n-1$.

Now there are $s_1 - s_n$ ideal p_1 -gons, $s_j - 2s_n + 1$ ideal $2p_j$ -gons, for $j = 2, \dots, n-1$, and the $(m_i + 2)$ -gon or $(2m_i + 2)$ -gon centered at a b_j -vertex, for $i = k_{j-1} + 1, \dots, k_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, to be attached. For each $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, the number of c_j -lines on the boundary of those polygons and P_0 that are sides of Ω_j -polygons or Ω_{j+1} -polygons is

$$= \begin{cases} s_n(p_j - 2) + 1 + (s_j - s_n)p_1 + \sum_{i=k_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} m_i, & j = 1, n \\ (2s_n - 1)(p_j - 1) + (s_j - 2s_n + 1)p_j + \sum_{i=k_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} m_i, & j \neq 1, n \\ d - 2s_n + 1, & j = 1, n \\ d - 2s_n + 1, & j \neq 1, n. \end{cases}$$

Hence, after attaching those $s_1 - s_n + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1}(s_j - 2s_n + 1) + \sum_{j=1}^n(k_j - k_{j-1}) = k_n + \sum_{j=1}^n s_j - 2(n-1)s_n + n - 2$ polygons to P_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-1)(d - 2s_n + 1) - [k_n + \sum_{j=1}^n s_j - 2(n-1)s_n + n - 2] \\ &= (n-1)d - k_n - \sum_{j=1}^n s_j + 1 \\ &= r \end{aligned}$$

pairs of c_j -lines, and each pair consists of a side of an Ω_j -polygon and a side of an Ω_{j+1} -polygon on the boundary. Therefore we obtain a convex polygon P whose boundary is the union of $k_j - k_{j-1}$ pairs of b_j -edges making an interior angle $\frac{2m_i\pi}{p_j}$, where $i = k_{j-1} + 1, \dots, k_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and r pairs of c_j -lines.

Each pair of b_j -edges of an interior angle $\frac{2m_i\pi}{p_j}$ are identified. Each pair of $2r$ c_j -lines are identified. Now P becomes a Hecke polygon. Then a subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ generated by the side pairing transformations of P is isomorphic to Γ . Q.E.D.

For the case $n = 2$ in Theorem 1.4, one can pair the r pairs of c_j -lines on ∂P as in the proof with the desired patterns. We state this result as a corollary of Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 3.1 *Let $k_0 = 0, k_1, k_2, g, t, r$ be nonnegative integers, where $k_1 \leq k_2, t \geq 1$, and $r = 2g + t - 1$. Let $\Gamma = F_r * \prod_{j=1}^{*2} \prod_{i=k_{j-1}+1}^{*k_j} Z_{\frac{p_j}{m_i}}$, where $m_i | p_j, i = k_{j-1} + 1, \dots, k_j, j = 1, 2$. Then Γ can be embedded in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, p_2)$ as a subgroup of index d and with a signature $(g; \frac{p_1}{m_1}, \dots, \frac{p_1}{m_{k_1}}, \frac{p_2}{m_{k_1+1}}, \dots, \frac{p_2}{m_{k_2}}; t)$ if and only if the following conditions hold:*

(i) *(the Riemann-Hurwitz condition)*

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i=k_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} \frac{m_i}{p_j} - (k_2 + r) + 1 = d \left(\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - 1 \right)$$

(ii) *(the integrality condition)*

The numbers s_1, s_2 satisfying

$$s_j p_j + \sum_{i=k_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} m_i = d, \quad j = 1, 2$$

are nonnegative integers.

4 Subgroups of Finite Index in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$

In this section we determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of a subgroup of finite index of a given type in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.




Figure 4: The marked point is an elliptic fixed point if it is in the interior, and is a center of a rotation which is a product of two reflections if it is on the boundary.

Suppose that Γ^* is a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and contains a reflection. Then $S_{\Gamma^*} \approx \mathbf{H}^2/\Gamma^*$ is a (possibly nonorientable) surface with boundary, (see Figure 4). The boundary ∂S_{Γ^*} is formed by the projection of the fixed lines of reflections in Γ^* . Also, ∂S_{Γ^*} contains a corner when the fixed lines of two reflections in Γ^* intersect. Each component C of ∂S_{Γ^*} is the projection of a simple curve \tilde{C} in \mathbf{H}^2 which is either a finite union of e_j - and f_j -edges or the union of two of the b_j -edges and a finite number of the e_j - and f_j -edges, where any two consecutive edges intersect at a b_j -vertex v , and make an angle $\frac{k\pi}{p_j}$, where $k \mid p_j$. If v is a center of a rotation which is the product of two reflections in Γ^* , the stabilizer of v is isomorphic to a dihedral group $D_{\frac{p_j}{k}}$.

We now generalize the construction of Hecke polygons for the case $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ to $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

Definition. A *Hecke polygon* in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is a convex hyperbolic polygon P^* of finite area containing a_1 and ∞ as vertices such that each component of ∂P^* is of one of the following forms:

- (i) a c_j -line
- (ii) a pair of b_j -edges making an interior angle $\frac{2k\pi}{p_j}$, where $k \mid p_j$
- (iii) a simple curve which is the union of two of the b_j -edges and a finite number of the e_j - and f_j -edges

satisfying the following conditions:

S_1^* . Each c_j -line which is a side of an Ω_j -polygon in P^* is paired to another c_j -line which is a side of an Ω_j -polygon in P^* with an orientation-preserving or reversing transformation in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

S_2^* . The b_j -edges of each pair as in (ii) are paired with a transformation in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

S_3^* . Each of the e_j - and f_j -edges as in (iii) is paired to itself with a reflection in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

S_4^* . Each of the b_j -edges as in (iii) is paired to itself with a reflection or to the other b_j -edge on the same component of ∂P with an orientation-preserving transformation in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

Note that the group $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ may contain a subgroup with a fundamental domain whose boundary has only one component, and contains only one cusp ∞ as a vertex. Such a fundamental domain is not a Hecke polygon. In this case, this subgroup is isomorphic to $\mathbf{D}_{m_1} *_{Z_2} \cdots *_{Z_2} \mathbf{D}_{m_k}$, where each m_i divides some p_j and Z_2 's are generated by reflections.

Theorem 4.1 *Let P^* be a Hecke polygon, and let Γ_{P^*} be the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ generated by the side pairing transformations of P^* . Then P^* is a fundamental domain for Γ_{P^*} , and Γ_{P^*} is a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ which is isomorphic to a free product of the groups \mathbf{Z} , Z_r , and $\mathbf{D}_{m_1} *_{Z_2} \cdots *_{Z_2} \mathbf{D}_{m_k}$, where r , and each m_i divide some p_j . Conversely, every subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ but $\neq \mathbf{D}_{m_1} *_{Z_2} \cdots *_{Z_2} \mathbf{D}_{m_k}$, where each m_i divides some p_j , admits a Hecke polygon.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1. The first assertion follows from the Poincaré polygon theorem.

Suppose that Γ is a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Let \mathcal{E}^* be the union of e_j - and f_j -edges in \mathbf{H}^2/Γ . Let T^* be the maximal tree in \mathcal{E}^* which contains the e_j - and f_j -edges in boundary of \mathbf{H}^2/Γ . Now as in the argument of Theorem 2.1, cut \mathbf{H}^2/Γ open into a space which is isometric to a simply connected convex hyperbolic polygon P and then obtain a Hecke polygon which is a fundamental domain for Γ . **Q.E.D.**

We take a *positive orientation* on \mathbf{H}^2 to be the usual counterclockwise orientation on \mathbf{H}^2 . Suppose that P^* is a Hecke polygon for Γ^* . Let C be a boundary component of $S_{\Gamma^*} = \mathbf{H}^2/\Gamma^*$ which is the projection of a simple curve \tilde{C} on ∂P^* . Suppose that $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ is a set of the b_j -vertices on \tilde{C} in positive order on ∂P^* such that w_1 and w_k are on the infinite edges. Note that for each j , no two b_j -vertices are adjacent along \tilde{C} . Let $\pi : \mathbf{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^2$ be the projection map. Suppose that the corresponding stabilizer of w_j is D_{m_j} . If $\pi(w_1) \neq \pi(w_k)$, the ordered set (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k) is called a *boundary cycle* on \tilde{C} for P^* . If $\pi(w_1) = \pi(w_k)$, the ordered set (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k) is called a *closed boundary cycle* on \tilde{C} for P^* . Each m_j is called a *branching number on the boundary*. If w_i is a b_j -vertex, the integer $\frac{p_j}{m_i}$ is the number of Ω_j^* -polygons in P^* with a vertex at w_i .

Suppose that $(\frac{y_1}{x_1}, \frac{y_2}{x_2}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{x_k})$ is a boundary cycle of Γ^* , where $x_i \mid y_i$ and $y_i \in \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$. Let $y_i = p_j$, for some j . Then from the property of a Hecke polygon for Γ^* we have the following results.

- (i) $y_1, y_k \in \{p_1, p_n\}$.
- (ii) If $k = 1$, then x_1 is an even number, and if $k > 1$, then x_1 and x_k are odd numbers.
- (iii) If x_i is an odd number, then $y_{i-1} = p_{j-1}$, $y_{i+1} = p_{j+1}$, or $y_{i-1} = p_{j+1}$, $y_{i+1} = p_{j-1}$.
- (iv) If x_i is an even number, then $y_{i-1} = y_{i+1} = p_{j-1}$, or $y_{i-1} = y_{i+1} = p_{j+1}$.

The above results (i)-(iv) are also true for a close boundary cycle $(\frac{y_1}{x_1}, \frac{y_2}{x_2}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{x_k})$ except (i) which now becomes $y_1 = y_k \in \{p_1, p_n\}$.

Suppose that

$$\Gamma = F_r * \prod_{\alpha=1}^{*n} \prod_{i=k_{\alpha}-1}^{*k_{\alpha}} Z_{\frac{p_{\alpha}}{m_i}} * \prod_{i=1}^{*h_0} \prod_{j=1}^{*u_i} (\mathbf{D}_{\frac{y_{ij1}}{x_{ij1}}} * Z_2 \cdots * Z_2 \mathbf{D}_{\frac{y_{ija_{ij}}}{x_{ija_{ij}}}}) * \prod_{i=h_0+1}^{*h} E_i$$

is a subgroup of index d in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where E_i has a presentation

$$\langle f_i, v_{i1}, \dots, v_{ia_{i1}} | (v_{i1} f_i v_{ia_{i1}} f_i^{-1})^{\frac{v_{ila_{i1}}}{x_{i11} + x_{ila_{i1}}}} = v_{il}^2 = v_{il+1}^2 = (v_{il} v_{il+1})^{\frac{y_{i1l}}{x_{i1l}}}, \\ l = 2, \dots, a_{i1} - 1 \rangle.$$

$x_{ijl} | y_{ijl}, y_{ijl} \in \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$, for all i, j, l , and $(x_{i11} + x_{ila_{i1}}) | y_{i1l}$, for $i = h_0 + 1, \dots, h$.

Suppose that P is a fundamental polygon for Γ which is a Hecke polygon. Let $B_{ij} = (\frac{y_{ij1}}{x_{ij1}}, \frac{y_{ij2}}{x_{ij2}}, \dots, \frac{y_{ija_{ij}}}{x_{ija_{ij}}})$, for $i = 1, \dots, h$, and $j = 1, \dots, u_i$. For each i, j , we will construct a polygon R_{ij} whose boundary contains a corresponding boundary component for B_{ij} . For instance, assume that $B_{ij} = (\frac{p_1}{x_{ij1}}, \frac{p_2}{x_{ij2}}, \dots, \frac{p_n}{x_{ijn}})$. Start with an $(x_{ij1} + 2)$ -gon Q_1 centered at a b_1 -vertex \bar{w}_1 such that the e_j - and f_1 -edge and the c_j -edge on ∂Q_1 are in positive order. Attach a $(2x_{ij} + 2)$ -gon Q_2 centered at a b_2 -vertex \bar{w}_2 to Q_1 along a c_j -edge such that the vertices \bar{w}_1 and \bar{w}_2 are on $\partial(Q_1 \cup Q_2)$ in positive order. Continuously in this way, we obtain a polygon R_{ij} such that the

vertices $w_{ij}, \bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \dots, \bar{w}_{2a_{ij}}, w'_{ij}$ are on ∂R_{ij} in positive order, where w_{ij} and w'_{ij} are the cusps on the b_1 -edge through \bar{w}_1 and the b_2 -edge through $\bar{w}_{2a_{ij}}$, respectively. Note that ∂R_{ij} contains c_j -lines through w_{ij} and w'_{ij} . Hence we can think of P as a polygon $P - \cup_{i=1}^h \cup_{j=1}^{u_i} R_{ij}$ attached to R_{ij} along the c_j -line through w_{ij} or w'_{ij} , $i = 1, \dots, h, j = 1, \dots, u_i$.

Let $k_0 = 0$. Apply Gauss-Bonnet Theorem to P . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{1}{p_\alpha} - n + 1 \right) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{i=k_{\alpha-1}+1}^{k_\alpha} \frac{m_i}{p_\alpha} + \sum_{i,j,l} \frac{x_{ijl}}{2y_{ijl}} - \left(k_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^{u_i} a_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^h u_i + r \right) + h - h_0 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, since the number of Ω_α^* -polygons is equal to d , there exists a nonnegative integer s_α such that

$$2s_\alpha p_\alpha + \sum_{i=k_{\alpha-1}+1}^{k_\alpha} 2m_i + \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^{u_i} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(\alpha)} x_{ijl} = d$$

where $\Phi_{ij}(\alpha) = \{l \mid y_{ijl} = p_\alpha, 1 \leq l \leq a_{ij}\}$.

Conversely all the above equalities are also sufficient for a subgroup to exist in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. We will use the previous notations to describe and prove this result.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $k_0 = 0, k_1, \dots, k_n, h_0, h, r$ be nonnegative integers, where $k_i \leq k_{i+1}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $h_0 \leq h$. Suppose that*

$$\Gamma = F_r * \prod_{\alpha=1}^{*n} \prod_{i=k_{\alpha-1}}^{*k_{\alpha}} Z_{\frac{p_{\alpha}}{m_i}} * \prod_{i=1}^{*h_0} \prod_{j=1}^{*u_i} (D_{\frac{y_{ij1}}{x_{ij1}}} * Z_2 \cdots * Z_2 D_{\frac{y_{ija_{ij}}}{x_{ija_{ij}}}}) * \prod_{i=h_0+1}^{*h} E_i,$$

where E_i has a presentation

$$\langle f_i, v_{i1}, \dots, v_{ia_{i1}} | (v_{i1} f_i v_{ia_{i1}} f_i^{-1})^{\frac{v_{ia_{i1}}}{x_{i11} + x_{ia_{i1}}}} = v_{il}^2 = v_{il+1}^2 = (v_{il} v_{il+1})^{\frac{y_{i1l}}{x_{i1l}}}, \\ l = 2, \dots, a_{i1} - 1 \rangle,$$

$x_{ijl} | y_{ijl}, y_{ijl} \in \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$, for all i, j, l , and $(x_{i11} + x_{ia_{i1}}) | y_{i11}$, for $i = h_0 + 1, \dots, h$.

Then Γ can be embedded in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ as a subgroup of index d if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) (the Riemann-Hurwitz condition)

$$\frac{d}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{\alpha}} - n + 1 \right) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{i=k_{\alpha-1}+1}^{k_{\alpha}} \frac{m_i}{p_{\alpha}} + \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^{u_i} \sum_{l=1}^{a_{ij}} \frac{x_{ijl}}{2y_{ijl}} - \left(k_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^{u_i} a_{ij} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^h (u_i + r) \right) + h - h_0 + 1.$$

(ii) (the integrality condition)

The numbers s_1, \dots, s_n satisfying

$$2s_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} + \sum_{i=k_{\alpha-1}+1}^{k_{\alpha}} 2m_i + \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^{u_i} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(\alpha)} x_{ijl} = d, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n,$$

are nonnegative integers.

Proof. The proof of the necessity is in the above argument. Conversely, suppose that (i) and (ii) are satisfied, it follows that

$$r = (n-1)\frac{d}{2} - k_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^{u_i} a_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^h u_i - \sum_{\alpha=1}^n s_\alpha + h - h_0 + 1. \quad (1)$$

We will construct a Hecke polygon P which consists of s_α ideal p_α - or $2p_\alpha$ -polygons, the $(m_\alpha + 2)$ - or $(2m_\alpha + 2)$ -gons, for $i = 1, \dots, k_n$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, and the polygons R_{ij} , for $i = 1, \dots, h$, $j = 1, \dots, u_i$, such that a subgroup generated by the side pairing transformations of P is isomorphic to Γ .

Let P_0 be a polygon in \mathbf{H}^2 whose boundary consists of c_j -lines as we constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.4. For each $\alpha = 1, \dots, n-2$, let μ_α and μ'_α be the numbers of polygons among those $s_1 - s_n$ ideal p_1 -gons and $(m_i + 2)$ -gons if $\alpha = 1$, and the $s_\alpha - 2s_n + 1$ ideal $2p_\alpha$ -gons and $(2m_i + 2)$ -gons if $\alpha \neq 1$, which are attached to P_0 or any other polygon along the c_α -lines and the $c_{\alpha+1}$ -lines respectively, where $i = k_\alpha + 1, \dots, k_{\alpha+1}$. Call this new polygon P_1 . We will prove that after attaching the polygons R_{ij} to P_1 to obtain a polygon P , the numbers of c_α -lines on ∂P which are sides of Ω_α -polygons and $\Omega_{\alpha+1}$ -polygons respectively are the same, where $\alpha = 1, \dots, n-1$, and there are r pairs of such c_j -lines on ∂P .

Suppose that for $i = 1, \dots, h$, $j = 1, \dots, u_i$, there exist integers $\xi_{ij\alpha}$, $\sigma_{ij\alpha\beta_1}$, $\eta_{ij\alpha}$, $\tau_{ij\alpha\beta_2}$, $\zeta_{ij\alpha}$, and $\rho_{ij\alpha\beta_3}$, where $\alpha = 1, \dots, n-1$, $\beta_1 = 1, \dots, \xi_{ij\alpha}$, $\beta_2 = 1, \dots, \xi_{ij\eta_{ij\alpha}}$,

and $\beta_3 = 1, \dots, \zeta_{ij\alpha}$, such that in each boundary cycle B_{ij} , there are $\xi_{ij\alpha}$ collections of branching numbers on the boundary of type (I_α) :

$$\frac{p_\alpha}{l_1}, \frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_2}, \dots, \frac{p_\alpha}{l_{2\sigma_{ij\alpha\beta}-1}}, \frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_{2\sigma_{ij\alpha\beta}}} \text{ or } \frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_1}, \frac{p_\alpha}{l_2}, \dots, \frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_{2\sigma_{ij\alpha\beta}-1}}, \frac{p_\alpha}{l_{2\sigma_{ij\alpha\beta}}}$$

$\eta_{ij\alpha}$ collections of branching numbers on the boundary of type (II_α) :

$$\frac{p_\alpha}{l_1}, \frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_2}, \dots, \frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_{2\tau_{ij\alpha\beta}-1}}, \frac{p_\alpha}{l_{2\tau_{ij\alpha\beta}}},$$

and $\zeta_{ij\alpha}$ collections of branching numbers on the boundary of type (III_α) :

$$\frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_1}, \frac{p_\alpha}{l_2}, \dots, \frac{p_\alpha}{l_{2\rho_{ij\alpha\beta}-1}}, \frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_{2\rho_{ij\alpha\beta}}}.$$

Since each boundary cycle except types (II_1) and (III_{n-1}) starts and ends up with branching numbers on the boundary of types (I_1) or (I_{n-1}) , we have the following equation:

$$u_i = \sum_{j=1}^{u_i} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\xi_{ij1} + \xi_{ijn-1}) + \eta_{ij1} + \zeta_{ijn-1} \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, h. \quad (2)$$

Note that for each $\alpha = 1, \dots, n-1$, $l_1, l_{2\sigma_{ij\alpha\beta}}, l_{2\tau_{ij\alpha\beta}}$, and $l_{2\rho_{ij\alpha\beta}}$ are odd numbers, and any other $l_2, \dots, l_{2\sigma_{ij\alpha\beta}-1}$ (or $l_{2\tau_{ij\alpha\beta}-1}$, or $l_{2\rho_{ij\alpha\beta}-1}$) are even numbers. Also, the number of the branching numbers $\frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_{2\sigma_{ij\alpha\beta}}}$ or $\frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_1}$ as in type (I_α) and $\frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_1}, \frac{p_{\alpha+1}}{l_{2\rho_{ij\alpha\beta}}}$ as in type (II_α) is equal to the number of the same branching numbers as in type $(I_{\alpha+1})$ and $(II_{\alpha+1})$. Then we have

$$\xi_{ij\alpha} + 2\zeta_{ij\alpha} = \xi_{ij\alpha+1} + 2\eta_{ij\alpha+1}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n-2, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{\beta=1}^{\xi_{ij\alpha}} 2\sigma_{ij\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\eta_{ij\alpha}} (2\tau_{ij\alpha\beta} - 1) + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\zeta_{ij\alpha}} (2\rho_{ij\alpha\beta} - 1) \right] - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-2} (\xi_{ij\alpha} + 2\zeta_{ij\alpha}) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{\beta} \sigma_{ij\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\beta} \tau_{ij\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\beta} \rho_{ij\alpha\beta} \right) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} (\xi_{ij\alpha} + \eta_{ij\alpha} + 3\zeta_{ij\alpha}) \\ &\quad + \xi_{ijn-1} + 2\zeta_{ijn-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $i = 1, \dots, h$, $j = 1, \dots, u_i$.

To compute the numbers of c_j -lines, let $\varepsilon(\alpha)$ and $\delta(\alpha)$ be the numbers of c_α -lines on ∂P and ∂R_{ij} , for $i = 1, \dots, h$, $j = 1, \dots, u_i$, which are sides of Ω_α -polygons and $\Omega_{\alpha+1}$ -polygons respectively, where $\alpha = 1, \dots, n-1$. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(1) &= (s_1 p_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} m_i - 2s_n + 1) - (s_1 - s_n) - k_1 - \mu_1 - \mu'_1 + \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(1)} \frac{x_{ijl}}{2} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(1)} \#(x_{ijl} \text{ is even}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(1)} \#(x_{ijl} \text{ is odd}) \\ &= (s_1 p_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} m_i - 2s_n + 1) - (s_1 - s_n) - k_1 - \mu_1 - \mu'_1 + \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(1)} \frac{x_{ijl}}{2} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j} \left[\sum_{\beta=1}^{\xi_{ij1}} (\sigma_{ij1\beta} - 1) + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\eta_{ij1}} (\tau_{ij1\beta} - 2) + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\zeta_{ij1}} (\rho_{ij1\beta} - 1) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\xi_{ij1} + 2\eta_{ij1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{d}{2} - 2s_n + 1 - (s_1 - s_n) - k_1 - \mu_1 - \mu'_1 - \sum_{i,j} \left(\sum_{\beta} \sigma_{ij1\beta} + \sum_{\beta} \tau_{ij1\beta} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\beta} \rho_{ij1\beta} \right) + \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{1}{2} \xi_{ij1} + \eta_{ij1} + \zeta_{ij1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(1) &= (s_2 p_2 + \sum_{i=k_1+1}^{k_2} m_i - 2s_n + 1) - (s_1 - s_n) - k_1 - \mu_1 - \mu'_1 + \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(2)} \frac{x_{ijl}}{2} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(2)} \#(x_{ijl} \text{ is even}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(2)} \#(x_{ijl} \text{ is odd}) \\
&= (s_2 p_2 + \sum_{i=k_1+1}^{k_2} m_i - 2s_n + 1) - (s_1 - s_n) - k_1 - \mu_1 - \mu'_1 + \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(2)} \frac{x_{ijl}}{2} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,j} \left[\sum_{\beta=1}^{\xi_{ij1}} (\sigma_{ij1\beta} - 1) + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\eta_{ij1}} (\tau_{ij1\beta} - 1) + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\zeta_{ij1}} (\rho_{ij1\beta} - 2) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\xi_{ij1} + 2\zeta_{ij1}) \\
&= \frac{d}{2} - 2s_n + 1 - (s_1 - s_n) - k_1 - \mu_1 - \mu'_1 - \sum_{i,j} \left(\sum_{\beta} \sigma_{ij1\beta} + \sum_{\beta} \tau_{ij1\beta} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\beta} \rho_{ij1\beta} \right) + \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{1}{2} \xi_{ij1} + \eta_{ij1} + \zeta_{ij1} \right) \\
&= \varepsilon(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $\alpha = 2, \dots, n-2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon(\alpha) &= \frac{d}{2} - 2s_n + 1 - (s_\alpha - 2s_n + 1 - \mu_{\alpha-1}) - (k_\alpha - k_{\alpha-1} - \mu'_{\alpha-1}) - \mu_\alpha - \mu'_\alpha \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,j} \left(\sum_{\beta} \sigma_{ij\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\beta} \tau_{ij\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\beta} \rho_{ij\alpha\beta} \right) + \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{1}{2} \xi_{ij\alpha} + \eta_{ij\alpha} + \zeta_{ij\alpha} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \delta(\alpha),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(n-1) &= \frac{d}{2} - 2s_n + 1 - (s_{n-1} - 2s_n + 1 - \mu_{n-1}) - (k_{n-1} - k_{n-2} - \mu'_{n-1}) \\ &\quad - (k_n - k_{n-1}) - \sum_{i,j} \left(\sum_{\beta} \sigma_{ijn-1\beta} + \sum_{\beta} \tau_{ijn-1\beta} + \sum_{\beta} \rho_{ijn-1\beta} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{1}{2} \xi_{ijn-1} + \eta_{ijn-1} + \zeta_{ijn-1} \right) \\ &= \delta(n-1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that after attaching those polygons R_{ij} , for $i = 1, \dots, h$, $j = 1, \dots, u_i$, to P_1 , which is called a polygon P , the numbers of c_α -lines on ∂P which are sides of Ω_α -polygons and sides of $\Omega_{\alpha+1}$ -polygons respectively, where $\alpha = 1, \dots, n-1$, are the same.

On the other hand, from eqs. (1), (2), (3), and (4), it follows that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon(\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \delta(\alpha) = r + \sum_{i=1}^{h_0} u_i.$$

This proves that on ∂P , there are $r - (h - h_0)$ pairs of c_j -lines, and each pair of them are sides of an Ω_α -polygon and an $\Omega_{\alpha+1}$ -polygon. Therefore we obtain a convex polygon P whose boundary is the union of $k_j - k_{j-1}$ pairs of b_j -edges making an

interior angle $\frac{2m_i\pi}{p_j}$, where $i = k_{j-1} + 1, \dots, k_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, r pairs of c_j -lines, and the e_j - and f_j -edges on R_{ij} corresponding to B_{ij} .

Each pair of those c_j -lines are identified, and each pair of b_j -edges of an interior angle $\frac{2m_i\pi}{p_j}$ are identified. For $i = 1, \dots, h$, each of an e_j -edge and an f_j -edge on $R_{ij} \cap \partial P$ is identified to itself with a reflection. Each b_j -edge on $R_{ij} \cap \partial P$ is identified to itself with a reflection if $i = 1, \dots, h_0$, and is identified to the other b_j -edge on $R_{ij} \cap \partial P$ with an orientation-preserving transformation if $i = h_0 + 1, \dots, h$. Now P becomes a Hecke polygon. Hence a subgroup generated by the side pairings of P is isomorphic to Γ . Q.E.D.

5 Special Cases

Theorem 5.1 *Suppose that p_1, \dots, p_n are distinct primes. Let*

$$\Gamma = F_r * \prod_{\alpha=1}^{*n} \underbrace{(Z_{p_\alpha} * \dots * Z_{p_\alpha})}_{k_\alpha} * \prod_{i=1}^{*h_0} \prod_{j=1}^{*u_i} (D_{\frac{y_{ij}1}{x_{ij}1}} * Z_2 \dots * Z_2 D_{\frac{y_{ij}a_{ij}}{x_{ij}a_{ij}}}) * \prod_{i=h_0+1}^{*h} E_i,$$

where each E_i has a presentation as in Theorem 4.2. Then Γ can be embedded in $\mathcal{H}^*(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ as a subgroup of finite index d if and only if the Riemann-Hurwitz condition holds, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{1}{p_\alpha} - n + 1 \right) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{k_\alpha}{p_\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^{u_i} \sum_{l=1}^{a_{ij}} \frac{x_{ijl}}{2y_{ijl}} - \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n k_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^{u_i} a_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^h (u_i + r) \right) + h - h_0 + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and for each $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, $d - 2k_\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^{u_i} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(\alpha)} x_{ijl}$ is a nonnegative even integer, where $\Phi_{ij}(\alpha) = \{l \mid y_{ijl} = p_\alpha, 1 \leq l \leq a_{ij}\}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that the integrality condition follows from the two conditions in the theorem. Let $\beta \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Multiplying $\prod_{\alpha=1}^n p_\alpha$ to eq. (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} p_\alpha \right) (d - 2k_\beta - \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(\beta)} x_{ijl}) &= \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^n p_\alpha \right) \left[(n-1)d - d \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \frac{1}{p_\alpha} + 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \frac{k_\alpha}{p_\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l \notin \Phi_{ij}(\beta)} \frac{x_{ijl}}{y_{ijl}} - \left(2 \sum_{\alpha} k_\alpha + \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} + \sum_i u_i + 2r \right) + 2(h - h_0) + 2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the right hand side of this equation is a nonnegative even integer divisible by p_β . Hence there is a nonnegative integer s_β such that

$$2s_\beta p_\beta + 2k_\beta + \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l \in \Phi_{ij}(\beta)} x_{ijl} = d.$$

Q.E.D.

In particular, if Γ as in Theorem 5.1 contains only orientation-preserving transformations, then $h = 0$ and the index d is an even number. Therefore we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2 *Suppose that p_1, \dots, p_n be distinct primes. Let*

$$\Gamma = F_r * \prod_{j=1}^{*n} \underbrace{(Z_{p_j} * \dots * Z_{p_j})}_{k_j}.$$

Then Γ can be embedded in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ as a subgroup of finite index d if and only if the Riemann-Hurwitz condition $d(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j} - n + 1) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{k_j}{p_j} - (\sum_{j=1}^n k_j + r) + 1$ holds, and $d \geq k_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

6 Hecke Polygons with Associated Permutations

We will show how to associate a collection of permutations to a Hecke polygon.

Suppose that Γ is a subgroup of index d in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. By Theorem 2.1, Γ has a fundamental domain P which is a Hecke polygon. Suppose that P consists of s_j ideal p_j -gons or $2p_j$ -gons Q_{j1}, \dots, Q_{js_j} , which are a union of p_j Ω_j -polygons, and the $m_i + 2$ -gon or $2m_i + 2$ -gon Q_{ji} centered at a b_j -vertex, which is a union of m_i Ω_j -polygons, for $i = s_j + 1, \dots, s_j + k_j - k_{j-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

We assign an element in $\{1, \dots, d\}$ to each of Ω_j -polygons in P for each j as follows (also see Figure 5). For $j = 1, \dots, n$, let A_j be a function of a collection \mathcal{M}_j




Figure 5: for the case of $\mathcal{H}(4, 2, 4)$, a Hecke polygon $P = Q_{11} \cup Q_{21} \cup Q_{22} \cup Q_{31}$ with the indicated side pairings, where $A_1(Q_{11}) = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$, $A_2(Q_{21}) = (1\ 3)$, $A_2(Q_{22}) = (2\ 4)$, $A_3(Q_{31}) = (1\ 4\ 3\ 2)$.

of Ω_j -polygons onto $\{1, \dots, d\}$ such that

- (1) $A_j(R_1) \neq A_j(R_2)$, for any two elements $R_1, R_2 \in \mathcal{M}_j$
- (2) $A_j(R_1) = A_j(R_2)$, if $R_1 \in \mathcal{M}_j, R_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{j+1}$, and they have an identified c_j -line.

Write all the elements of $A_j(Q_{ji})$ in counterclockwise order, say $\{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$. An element (l_1, \dots, l_r) of a symmetric group S_d is called a *permutation associated to Q_{ji}* . Let α_j be a product of the permutations associated to $Q_{ji}, i = 1, \dots, s_j + k_j - k_{j-1}, j = 1, \dots, n$. Note that α_j is a product of disjoint s_j p_j -cycles and m_i -cycles, $i = k_{j-1} + 1, \dots, k_j$. Then $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is called a *system of permutations associated to P or Γ with respect to p_j 's and m_i 's*.

In fact, the group $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$ acts transitively on $\{1, \dots, d\}$. For, if two elements a, b of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ are in disjoint cycles of α_1 , say $a \in A_1(Q_{11}), b \in A_1(Q_{12})$,

since there is a path of e_j - and f_j -edges, for some $j = j_1, \dots, j_r$, which connects the b_1 -vertex in Q_{11} to the b_1 -vertex in Q_{12} . Then a gets mapped to b through some powers of $\alpha_1, \alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_r}, \alpha_1$ respectively. Hence any Hecke polygon gives us a group of permutations in S_d acting transitively on $\{1, \dots, d\}$.

On the other hand, we will show that the number of cusps on P/Γ is the number of disjoint cycles of $\sigma = \alpha_n \cdots \alpha_1$. If x is cusp on P/Γ , then there is a sequence of c_j -lines and b_j -edges around x as follows. Start with a c_1 -line or an b_1 -edge L_1 on ∂P through x on an Ω_1 -polygon R_1 . Suppose that $A_1(R_1) = 1$. Then there is an edge M_1 next to L_1 counterclockwise, where M_1 is on an Ω_1 -polygon R_2 , possibly $R_1 = R_2$, with $A_1(R_2) = \alpha_1(1)$. Let L_2 be an edge of R_2 which contains a cusp equivalent to x and $L_2 \neq L_1$. Then there is an edge M_2 next to L_2 counterclockwise, where M_2 is on an Ω_2 -polygon R_3 with $A_2(R_3) = \alpha_1(1)$. Again there is an edge L_3 on an Ω_3 -polygon R_4 next to M_2 which contains a cusp equivalent to x with $A_3(R_4) = \alpha_2\alpha_1(1)$. Continuing this way, we generate a sequence of edges $\{L_1, M_1, \dots, L_n, M_n\}$ each of which contains a cusp equivalent to x , and a sequence of Ω_j -polygons $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{2n-1}, R_{2n}\}$ such that $A_j(R_{2j-1}) = \alpha_{j-1} \cdots \alpha_1(1)$, $A_j(R_{2j}) = \alpha_j \cdots \alpha_1(1)$, where $j = 1, \dots, n$. and $\alpha_0 = \text{identity}$.

Next there are an Ω_{n-1} -polygon R_{2n+1} , an Ω_{n-2} -polygon R_{2n+2} , \dots , an Ω_2 -polygon R_{3n-2} attached to M_n cyclically, where $A_2(R_{2n+3}) = \cdots = A_{n-1}(R_{2n+1}) = A_n(R_{2n})$. If $A_n(R_{2n}) \neq 1$, then repeat the same argument for an edge on an Ω_1 -

Ω_j -polygons of some Q_{ji} in which the number of Ω_j -polygons is r . Then this Q_{ji} with those assigned numbers is called a *polygon associated to* (i_1, \dots, i_r) .

Since $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$ is acting transitively on $\{1, \dots, d\}$, let a, b be any two elements of $\{1, \dots, d\}$, there are some permutations, say, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ such that

$$\alpha_q^{l_q} \dots \alpha_1^{l_1}(a) = b,$$

for some powers l_1, \dots, l_q . Then there is a cycle β_j in α_j , for each $j = 1, \dots, q$, such that $\beta_q^{l_q} \dots \beta_1^{l_1}(a) = b$. Let $z_j = \beta_j^{l_j} \dots \beta_1^{l_1}(a)$, $j = 1, \dots, q$. Then $z_1 = \beta_1^{l_1}(a) \in \beta_1$, $z_2 \in \beta_2, \dots, b = z_q \in \beta_q$.

Suppose that Q_{j1} is a polygon associated to β_j , $j = 1, \dots, q$. Then there are an Ω_j -polygon $R_j \subset Q_{j1}$ and an Ω_{j+1} -polygon $R'_j \subset Q_{j+11}$ with $A_j(R_j) = A_{j+1}(R'_j) = c_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, q$. Hence Q_{j+11} can be attached to Q_{j1} along the c_j -lines which are sides of R_j and R'_j , $j = 1, \dots, q$. Call this polygon P_0 . Suppose that $Q_{12}, \dots, Q_{q2}, Q_{q+11}, \dots, Q_{n1}$ are the polygons whose associated permutations containing b . Let W_j be an Ω_j -polygon contained in Q_{ji} with $A_j(W_j) = b$, for $j = 1, \dots, q$, when $i = 2$, and for $j = q + 1, \dots, n$, when $i = 1$. Now attach $Q_{12}, \dots, Q_{q2}, Q_{q+11}, \dots, Q_{n1}$ to P_0 along the c_j -lines which are sides of W_1, \dots, W_n . Call this polygon P_1 . Similarly, the Q_{ji} 's whose associated permutations containing a can be attached to P_1 . Call this polygon P_2 . Hence all the polygons Q_{ji} 's whose associated permutations containing a and b are attached together.

Since any element in $\{1, \dots, d\}$ is mapped to a under some permutation in $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$, all the rest of the Q_{ji} 's can be attached to P_2 in the same way as above. Call this polygon P . The boundary of P consists of c_j -lines and pairs of b_j -edges making an angle $\frac{2m_i\pi}{p_j}$.

Before the Q_{ji} 's are attached to each other, there are d c_j -lines on Q_{ji} 's and Q_{j+1i} respectively. When any two of the Q_{ji} 's are attached along a c_j -line, we lose one c_j -line from each of Q_{ji} 's and Q_{j+1i} 's. Hence the numbers of c_j -lines on ∂P which are sides of Ω_j -polygons and Ω_{j+1} -polygons respectively are the same. Therefore, to find the number of c_j -lines on ∂P , it is sufficient to count the number of c_j -lines on ∂P which are sides of Ω_j -polygons, where $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. There are $(n-1)d$ c_j -lines on Q_{ji} 's, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, altogether. Then after attaching those $\sum_{j=1}^n s_j + \sum_{j=1}^n (k_j - k_{j-1})$ polygons Q_{ji} 's, there are

$$r = (n-1)d - \sum_{j=1}^n s_j - k_n + 1$$

c_j -lines on ∂P which are sides Ω_j -polygons, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Now pair a c_j -line of an Ω_j -polygon U_j on ∂P to a c_j -line of an Ω_{j+1} -polygon U_{j+1} on ∂P with $A_j(U_j) = A_{j+1}(U_{j+1})$. Any two b_j -edges on ∂P making an interior angle $\frac{2m_i\pi}{p_j}$ are identified. Then P together with those side pairings becomes a Hecke polygon.

Moreover, if Γ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ generated by the side pairings of P ,

by using the previous argument, the number of cusps of the surface \mathbf{H}^2/Γ is t , and Γ has a signature $(g; \frac{p_1}{m_1}, \dots, \frac{p_n}{m_{k_n}}; t)$, where $r = 2g + t - 1$. Therefore we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1 *Let $k_0 = 0, k_1, \dots, k_n, g, t, r$ be nonnegative integers, where $k_i \leq k_{i+1}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, $t \geq 1$, and $r = 2g + t - 1$. Let m_i be positive integers, where $m_i | p_j$, $i = k_{j-1} + 1, \dots, k_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ contains a subgroup of index d with a signature $(g; \frac{p_1}{m_1}, \dots, \frac{p_n}{m_{k_n}}; t)$ if and only if*

(i) *The numbers r , p_j 's m_i 's and s_j 's satisfy the Riemann-Hurwitz and integrality conditions as in Theorem 1.4.*

(ii) *For $j = 1, \dots, n$, there exists a permutation α_j in S_d such that*

(a) *α_j is a product of disjoint p_j -cycles (in all s_j of them) and m_i -cycles, $i = k_{j-1} + 1, \dots, k_j$.*

(b) *The group $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$ acts transitively on $\{1, \dots, d\}$.*

(c) *The permutation $\sigma = \alpha_n \cdots \alpha_1$ has t disjoint cycles.*

7 Branched Coverings of Punctured Spheres

In this section we will construct branched coverings of a punctured sphere $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ by applying Theorem 6.1 or using a Hecke polygon.

First note that the Riemann-Hurwitz and integrality conditions are not sufficient




Figure 7: The c_1 -lines marked by a on ∂P are identified.

for the existence of a subgroup with a given signature if $n \geq 3$, (see the following example).

Example. Consider a torsion free subgroup isomorphic to F_7 of index 6 with a signature $(0; 8)$ in $\mathcal{H}(2, 3, 6)$. Here, $\chi(F_7) = -6$ and $\chi(\mathcal{H}(2, 3, 6)) = -1$. Integrality conditions are also satisfied. However, by Proposition 7.1 below F_7 cannot be regarded as a subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(2, 3, 6)$ with a signature $(0; 8)$.

Note that F_7 can be regarded as a subgroup in $\mathcal{H}(2, 3, 6)$ with a signature $(1; 6)$, or $(2; 4)$, or $(3; 2)$. Indeed, take two ideal hexagons Q_1, Q_2 which consist of six Ω_2 -polygons respectively and one ideal hexagon R which consists of six Ω_3 -polygons. Glue those hexagons together along the c_2 -lines through ∞ to get a polygon P as in Figure 7. Let the c_1 -lines through ∞ on ∂P be identified. Then the side pairings of P

$$abcded^{-1}b^{-1}fgc^{-1}g^{-1}e^{-1}f^{-1}a^{-1}$$

$$abcdeb^{-1}d^{-1}fgc^{-1}g^{-1}e^{-1}f^{-1}a^{-1}$$

$$abcdeb^{-1}d^{-1}fgc^{-1}f^{-1}e^{-1}g^{-1}a^{-1}$$

correspond to subgroups with signatures (1;6), (2;4) and (3;2) respectively.

Proposition 7.1 *Suppose that Γ is a subgroup of index d in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ whose number of cusps is t . Then we have a partition $d = \sum_{i=1}^t d_i$. In particular $t \leq d$.*

Proof. The surface \mathbf{H}^2/Γ is a branched cover of degree d of the once-punctured sphere $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Let $\{\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_t\}$ and x be the cusps in \mathbf{H}^2/Γ and $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ respectively. Compactify \mathbf{H}^2/Γ and $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ by filling with cusps respectively. The original branched covering is extended to the one of degree d between the compactified surfaces. Then there are t points $\{\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_t\}$ in the fiber of x . Let $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ be a simple closed curve around \tilde{x}_i . It projects in $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ as a (not necessarily simple) closed curve γ_i around x . Let d_i be the winding number of γ_i around x . Then $d = \sum_{i=1}^t d_i$. In particular $t \leq d$. **Q.E.D.**

The result in [2] implies that in our case, if $n \geq 3$ and $t|d$, then t can be realized as the number of cusps of a subgroup of index d .

Theorem 7.2 *Suppose that $n \geq 3$, $d = \lambda \operatorname{lcm}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and $t|d$. Then there exists a torsion free subgroup of index d with a signature $(g; t)$ in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ if $2g+t-2 = d(n-1 - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j})$.*

Proof. Let $d = kt$, and let E, V_1, \dots, V_{n+1} be integers satisfying $E = (n+1)d$, $p_j V_j = d$, where $j = 1, \dots, n+1$, and $p_{n+1} = k$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} V_j - E + 2d = 2 - 2g$. From Theorem 1.3 in [2], there is a tessellation of a surface M of genus g into $2d$ $(n+1)$ -gons with E edges and $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} V_j$ vertices, V_j of valence $2p_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n+1$), such that each face has vertices of valence $2p_1, \dots, 2p_{n+1}$, up to cyclic order. Remove the vertices of valence $2k$ from M to obtain a *topological* surface X of genus g with t cusps. Then X is a branched cover of a once-punctured sphere S with n branch points P_1, \dots, P_n with branching numbers p_1, \dots, p_n .

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be the corresponding projection map. If $\pi_S : \mathbf{H}^2 \rightarrow S$ is the universal branched covering with branching numbers p_1, \dots, p_n , the covering group of $\pi_S : \mathbf{H}^2 \rightarrow S$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Then π_S factors through $\mathbf{H}^2 \xrightarrow{\pi_X} X \xrightarrow{\pi} S$. The set $\pi^{-1}(P_j)$ are precisely the V_j vertices of the tessellation of X lying over P_j . The condition $p_j V_j = d$ ensures that $\mathbf{H}^2 \xrightarrow{\pi_X} X$ is an *unbranched* covering. This corresponds to a torsion free subgroup Γ of the covering group of $\pi_S : \mathbf{H}^2 \rightarrow S$. To realize Γ as a subgroup of the *Fuchsian* group $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, we proceed as follows.

First add a vertex to each compact edge of X as a "midpoint". Next on each face

of X , add all noncompact edges through a cusp and any other vertices respectively. Now each face has $n + 1$ triangles. Let T be one of these triangles. Replace T by one of the triangles Δ_j^* 's and $\bar{\Delta}_j^*$'s, called \bar{T} , as in §2. On the interior of \bar{T} , we have a well-defined hyperbolic metric. These \bar{T} 's can be glued along edges by uniquely defined isometries. So at the end, we get a *complete* Riemannian metric of constant curvature -1 on X . This extends uniquely to a universal covering $\mathbf{H}^2 \rightarrow X$ which is an isometry on each component of the inverse image on each face. Therefore X is homeomorphic to \mathbf{H}^2/Γ , for some torsion free subgroup Γ of index d in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

Q.E.D.

We now discuss some special cases for the realizability of signatures by subgroups of finite index in $\mathcal{H}(2, \underbrace{p, \dots, p}_n, 2)$, $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, ($p_j \geq 3$, $n \geq 5$), and $\mathcal{H}(2, 3, 5)$.

Theorem 7.3 *Let g and $t \geq 1$ be nonnegative integers. Suppose that p and d are positive integers, where $p \geq 2$ and d is divisible by $\text{lcm}(2, p)$. Then $\mathcal{H}(2, \underbrace{p, \dots, p}_n, 2)$ contains a torsion free subgroup of index d with a signature $(g; t)$ iff $2g + t = (1 - \frac{1}{p})nd + 2$ and $t \leq d$.*

Proof. The if part follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz condition. We will prove the only if part by constructing a Hecke polygon.

First, take $\frac{d}{p}$ ideal $2p$ -gons centered at b_j -vertices, for each $j = 1, \dots, n$ respectively. Now glue those ideal polygons together along the c_j -lines through ∞ to obtain

a polygon P . Then we can identify the c_j -lines on ∂P with the desired pattern to have a surface of genus g with t cusps. Q.E.D.

Corollary 7.4 *Let Γ be a torsion free subgroup of index d in $\mathcal{H}(2, \underbrace{p, \dots, p}_n, 2)$, where $p \geq 2$ and d is divisible by $\text{lcm}(2, p)$. Then the surface \mathbf{H}^2/Γ of genus g with t cusps is a branched cover of degree d of the once-punctured sphere $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathcal{H}(2, p, \dots, p, 2)$ branched at all b_j -vertices to order $\{\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_d, \underbrace{p, \dots, p}_{nd/p}\}$ iff $2g + t = (1 - \frac{1}{p})nd + 2$ and $t \leq d$.*

Theorem 7.5 *Suppose that $n \geq 5$, $p_j \geq 3$, ($j = 1, \dots, n$), and d is divisible by $\text{lcm}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Let $g, t \geq 1$ be nonnegative integers, and let $d = k_j p_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ contains a torsion free subgroup of index d with a signature $(g; t)$ iff $2g + t = (n - 1)d - \sum_{j=1}^n k_j + 2$ and $t \leq d$.*

Proof. The only if part follows directly from (i) and (ii) in Theorem 6.1.

Suppose that $2g + t = (n - 1)d - \sum_{j=1}^n k_j + 2$ and $t \leq d$. To find a subgroup with a signature $(g; t)$ is amount to choosing an appropriate α_j in S_d , $j = 1, \dots, n$, such that $\alpha_n \cdots \alpha_1$ has disjoint t cycles.

The case of $d = 4$ is clear. Suppose that $d \neq 4$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{A_1, \dots, A_{n+1}\}$ be a collection of partitions of d , where $A_j = [p_j, \dots, p_j]$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $A_{n+1} = [1, \dots, 1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_m]$, where $m \geq 0$. The total branching [3] is $v(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (p_j - 1)k_j + m$. Since $n \geq 5$ and $p_j \geq 3$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, it follows that

$$v(\mathcal{F}) - 3(d - 1) = (n - 3 - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j})d + m + 3 \geq (\frac{2}{3}n - 3)d + m + 3 \geq 0.$$

By Theorem 5.4 in [3], \mathcal{F} is realized as the branch data of a connected branched covering of a closed sphere S^2 . Hence, by Lemma 2.1 in [3], for $j = 1, \dots, n$, there exists α_j in S_d which is a product of k_j disjoint p_j -cycles such that $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n$ has m 2-cycles, and $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$ acts transitively on $\{1, \dots, d\}$. **Q.E.D.**

Corollary 7.6 *Suppose that $n \geq 5$, $p_j \geq 3$, ($j = 1, \dots, n$), and d is divisible by $\text{lcm}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Let $d = k_j p_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let Γ be a torsion free subgroup of index d in $\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Then the surface \mathbf{H}^2/Γ of genus g with t cusps is a branched cover of degree d of the once-punctured sphere $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathcal{H}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, branched at all b_j -vertices to order $\{\underbrace{p_1, \dots, p_1}_{k_1}, \dots, \underbrace{p_n, \dots, p_n}_{k_n}\}$ iff $2g + t = (n - 1)d - \sum_{j=1}^n k_j + 2$ and $t \leq d$.*

Lemma 7.7 *Suppose that d is a positive integer divisible by 30. Then there are permutations α, β in S_d which are a product of $d/2$ disjoint 2-cycles and a product of $d/3$ disjoint 3-cycles respectively such that $\alpha\beta$ has $d/5$ 5-cycles if $d \equiv 0 \pmod{60}$ and has $12k + 4$ 5-cycles and one 10-cycles if $d = 60k + 30$. In particular, α, β can be chosen so that $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ acts transitively on $\{1, \dots, d\}$, when $d = 30, 60$.*

Proof. Let

$$\alpha_1 = (20\ 29)\ (11\ 26)\ (8\ 17)\ (30\ 5)\ (27\ 28)\ (23\ 25)\ (3\ 24)\ (21\ 22)$$

$$(18\ 19)\ (14\ 16)\ (2\ 15)\ (12\ 13)\ (9\ 10)\ (6\ 7)\ (1\ 4)$$

$$\beta_1 = (1\ 2\ 3)\ (4\ 5\ 6)\ \dots\ (25\ 26\ 27)\ (28\ 29\ 30).$$

Then $\langle \alpha_1, \beta_1 \rangle$ acts transitively on $\{1, \dots, 30\}$ and

$$\alpha_1 \beta_1 = (1\ 15\ 12\ 9\ 6)\ (2\ 24\ 21\ 18\ 14)\ (3\ 4\ 30\ 27\ 23)\ (5\ 7\ 17\ 19\ 29)$$

$$(8\ 10\ 26\ 28\ 20\ 22\ 25\ 11\ 13\ 16).$$

Let

$$\tilde{\alpha}_1 = (38\ 60)\ (47\ 59)\ (56\ 58)\ (32\ 57)\ (53\ 55)\ (26\ 54)\ (50\ 52)\ (20\ 51)$$

$$(48\ 49)\ (44\ 46)\ (17\ 45)\ (41\ 43)\ (11\ 42)\ (39\ 40)\ (35\ 37)\ (8\ 36)$$

$$(33\ 34)\ (29\ 31)\ (30\ 5)\ (27\ 28)\ (23\ 25)\ (3\ 24)\ (21\ 22)\ (18\ 19)$$

$$(14\ 16)\ (2\ 15)\ (12\ 13)\ (9\ 10)\ (6\ 7)\ (1\ 4)$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_1 = (1\ 2\ 3)\ (4\ 5\ 6)\ \dots\ (55\ 56\ 57)\ (58\ 59\ 60).$$

Then $\langle \tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1 \rangle$ acts transitively on $\{1, \dots, 60\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}_1 \bar{\beta}_1 = & (1\ 15\ 12\ 9\ 6)\ (2\ 24\ 21\ 18\ 14)\ (3\ 4\ 30\ 27\ 23)\ (5\ 7\ 36\ 33\ 29) \\ & (8\ 10\ 42\ 39\ 35)\ (11\ 13\ 16\ 45\ 41)\ (17\ 19\ 51\ 48\ 44)\ (20\ 22\ 25\ 54\ 50) \\ & (26\ 28\ 31\ 57\ 53)\ (38\ 40\ 43\ 46\ 59)\ (47\ 49\ 52\ 55\ 58)\ (32\ 34\ 37\ 60\ 56). \end{aligned}$$

If $d = 60k$, we can choose permutations $\bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\beta}_2 \in S_{120}, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_k, \bar{\beta}_k \in S_{60k}$ as constructed for $\bar{\alpha}_1$ and $\bar{\beta}_1$ such that $\bar{\alpha}_j, \bar{\beta}_j$ fix elements $1, \dots, 60(j-1)$, and $\bar{\alpha}_j \bar{\beta}_j$ is a product of disjoint twelve 5-cycles, for $j = 2, \dots, k$. Let $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}_1 \cdots \bar{\alpha}_k$, $\beta = \bar{\beta}_1 \cdots \bar{\beta}_k$. Then $\alpha\beta$ has $12k$ 5-cycles.

Similarly, if $d = 60k + 30$, choose permutations $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in S_{90}, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}, \beta_{k+1} \in S_{60k+30}$ such that α_j, β_j fix $1, \dots, 60(j-2) + 30$, and $\alpha_j \beta_j$ is a product of disjoint twelve 5-cycles, for $j = 2, \dots, k+1$. Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{k+1}$, $\beta = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{k+1}$. Then $\alpha\beta$ has $12k + 4$ 5-cycles and one 10-cycle. **Q.E.D.**

Theorem 7.8 *Let $d, g, t \geq 1$ be nonnegative integers and $30|d$. Then $\mathcal{H}(2, 3, 5)$ contains a subgroup of index d with a signature $(g; t)$ iff $2g + t = \frac{29}{30}d + 2$, where $g \geq 0$ if $d \equiv 0 \pmod{60}$, and $g \geq 1$ if $d \equiv 30 \pmod{60}$.*

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 7.7. It remains to show that if $d = 60k + 30$, then $g \geq 1$. Suppose that $g = 0$. If $d = 90$, the $t = 89 - 2g$. Suppose that $t = 89$ is realizable. Then a collection $\mathcal{F} = \{[5, \dots, 5], [5, \dots, 5, 10], [1, \dots, 1, 2]\}$ of partitions

of 90 is realizable. The total branching $v(\mathcal{F}) = 146 < 2(90 - 1)$ which contradicts to Lemma 2.1 in [3]. So $g \geq 1$ when $d = 90$. Let $d = 60k + 30$. Then $t = 58k + 31 - 2g$. It follows that $g \geq 1$, otherwise \mathcal{F} is realizable. **Q.E.D.**

Corollary 7.9 *Suppose that Γ is a torsion free subgroup of index d in $\mathcal{H}(2, 3, 5)$, where $30|d$. Then the surface \mathbf{H}^2/Γ of genus g with t cusps is a branched cover of degree d of the once-punctured sphere $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathcal{H}(2, 3, 5)$, branched at all b_j -vertices to order $\underbrace{\{2, \dots, 2\}}_{d/2} \underbrace{\{3, \dots, 3\}}_{d/3} \underbrace{\{5, \dots, 5\}}_{d/5}$ iff $2g + t = \frac{29}{30}d + 2$, where $g \geq 0$ if $d \equiv 0 \pmod{60}$, and $g \geq 1$ if $d \equiv 30 \pmod{60}$.*

References

- [1] A. F. Beardon, *The Geometry of Discrete Groups*, Springer-Verlag, 91, 1983.
- [2] A. Edmonds, J. Ewing and R. Kulkarni, *Torsion free subgroups of Fuchsian groups and tessellations of surfaces*, Invent. Math. **69**(1982), 331-346.
- [3] A. Edmonds, R. Kulkarni and R. E. Stong, *Realizability of branched coverings of surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **282**(1984), 773-790.

- [4] A. H. M. Hoare, *Subgroups of NEC groups and finite permutation groups*, Quart. J. Math. (2), **41**(1990), 45-59.
- [5] A. Hurwitz, *Über Riemann'sche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten*, Math. Ann. **103**(1891), 1-60.
- [6] R. S. Kulkarni, *An extension of a theorem of Kurosh and applications to Fuchsian groups*, Michigan Math. J. **30**(1983), 259-272.
- [7] R. S. Kulkarni, *A new proof and extension of a theorem of Millington on the Modular group*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **17**(1985), 458-462.
- [8] R. S. Kulkarni, *An arithmetic-geometric method in the study of the subgroups of the Modular group*, Amer. Jour. Math. **113**(1991), 1053-1133.
- [9] A. M. Macbeath and A. H. M. Hoare, *Groups of hyperbolic crystallography*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **79**(1976), no. 2, 235-249.
- [10] B. Maskit, *Kleinian Groups*, Springer-Verlag, 287, 1987.
- [11] M. H. Millington, *Subgroups of the classical modular group*, J. London Math. Soc. **1**(1969), 351-357.
- [12] H. Rademacher, *Über die Erzeugenden der Kongruenzuntergruppen der Modulgruppe*, Abh. Hamburg, **7**(1929), 134-148.

- [13] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [14] D. Singerman, *Subgroups of Fuchsian groups and finite permutation groups*,
Bull. London Math. Soc. **2**(1970), 319-323.
- [15] C. T. C. Wall, *Rational Euler characteristics*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.
57(1961), 182-183.